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The Novel VEGF Receptor/MET–Targeted Kinase Inhibitor TAS-115 Has Marked In Vivo Antitumor Properties and a Favorable Tolerability Profile

Hidenori Fujita1,2, Kazutaka Miyadera1, Masanori Kato1, Yayoi Aoyagi1, Hiroaki Chihara1, Jinhong Huang1, Kimihito Ito1, Yoshihisa Aoyagi1, Toru Takenaka1, Takamasa Suzuki1, Satoko Ito1, Akihiro Hashimoto1, Takashi Suefuji1, Kosuke Egami1, Hideki Kazuno1, Yoshimitsu Suda1, Kazuto Nishio2, and Kazuhiko Yonekura1

Abstract

VEGF receptor (VEGFR) signaling plays a key role in tumor angiogenesis. Although some VEGFR signal-targeted drugs have been approved for clinical use, their utility is limited by associated toxicities or resistance to such therapy. To overcome these limitations, we developed TAS-115, a novel VEGFR and hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET)-targeted kinase inhibitor with an improved safety profile. TAS-115 inhibited the kinase activity of both VEGFR2 and MET and their signal-dependent cell growth as strongly as other known VEGFR or MET inhibitors. On the other hand, kinase selectivity of TAS-115 was more specific than that of sunitinib and TAS-115 produced relatively weak inhibition of growth (IC50 > 10 μmol/L) in VEGF signal- or MET signal-independent cells. Furthermore, TAS-115 induced less damage in various normal cells than did other VEGFR inhibitors. These data suggest that TAS-115 is extremely selective and specific, at least in vitro. In in vivo studies, TAS-115 completely suppressed the progression of MET-inactivated tumor by blocking angiogenesis without toxicity when given every day for 6 weeks, even at a serum-saturating dose of TAS-115. The marked selectivity of TAS-115 for kinases and targeted cells was associated with improved tolerability and contributed to the ability to sustain treatment without dose reduction or a washout period. Furthermore, TAS-115 induced marked tumor shrinkage and prolonged survival in MET-amplified human cancer–bearing mice. These data suggest that TAS-115 is a unique VEGFR/MET-targeted inhibitor with improved antitumor efficacy and decreased toxicity. Mol Cancer Ther; 12(12); 2685–96. ©2013 AACR.
effect in tumor cell lines with MET gene amplification, overexpression or overactivation (22, 23). In fact, numerous clinical trials examining the utility of MET inhibitors are now underway (24).

VEGFR and MET signaling pathways reportedly modulate tumor growth in a synergistic manner. Within tumor environments, HGF/MET signals and VEGF/VEGFR signals work in connection with: (i) the induction of VEGF expression by HGF/MET (25), (ii) the involvement of HGF/MET in the mechanism responsible for VEGFR inhibitor-resistant vascularization (26), (iii) the cooperation of HGF- and VEGF-induced vascularization (27), and so on. Therefore, dual inhibition of the VEGFR axis and the MET axis might exert synergistic antitumor activity due to blockade of the above pathways.

On the basis these observations, we attempted to develop a drug with a high inhibitory activity against the VEGFR and MET signal pathways and with improved safety profile. The present study describes the identification and characterization of TAS-115, a small-molecule inhibitor of VEGFR and MET, which was developed for this purpose.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and cell cultures

The MKN45 and AZ-521 cell lines were obtained from Health Science Research Resources Bank (HSRRB; Tokyo, Japan). The MCF7, SK-OV-3, SK-BR-3, and normal diploid fibroblasts derived from fetal lung (MRC-5) cell lines were obtained from DS PharmaBiomedical. NUGC-4 was obtained from RIKEN Bio Resource Center. The DU145, HCT-116, and Hs746T cell lines were acquired from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The SC-9 cell line was provided by the Central Institute for Experimental Animals (Kawasaki, Japan). MS-1, a murine pancreatic islet endothelial cell line was purchased from ATCC. MKN45, NUGC-4, and SC-9 were human gastric carcinoma cell lines. MCF-7, SK-OV-3, HCT-116, and AZ-521 were human breast carcinoma, ovarian carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, and duodenal carcinoma cell lines, respectively. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HVEC), human coronary artery smooth muscle cells (hCASMC), and human alveolar epithelial cells (AEpiC) were obtained from Cell Systems, Inc., Cell Applications, Inc., and ScienCell Research Laboratories, respectively. Except for the SC-9 cells, all cell lines were cultured in the recommended media and serum concentrations, according to the instructions accompanying the cell lines. The SC-9 cell line was maintained by serial subcutaneous implantation (at 3-week intervals) into the right axillae of Balb/c nu/nu nude mice (Charles River Laboratories Japan, Inc.). We authenticated cancer cell lines by short tandem repeat inspection within 6 months after the end of these experiments. We provided same cryopreserved cell stock used in our experiments for short tandem repeat inspection. All cancer cell lines were identified. Normal cell lines were expended within 2 months from the beginning of culture after obtaining cell lines.

Reagents and antibodies

TAS-115 [4-[2-fluoro-4-[[[2-phenylacetyl]amino]thioxo-omethyl]amino]-phenoxy]-7-methoxy-N-methyl-6-quinolinecarboxamide; Fig. 1A] was prepared by Taiho...
To investigate cellular VEGFR2 phosphorylation, HUVECs were plated in collagen-coated dishes (BD Biosciences, Inc.). On the following day, serum starvation was performed during overnight incubation. Then, TAS-115 and VEGF were added at the desired final concentrations. After incubation for 5 minutes, cell lystate was prepared with Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent (M-PER; Pierce Thermo Scientific) and VEGFR2 phosphorylation was detected using immunoblotting. Blotting images were analyzed using an LAS1000 plus with Multi Gauge, Ver. 3.0 (Fuji Photo Film). The relative signal value from the image was calculated using the signal intensity of GAPDH.

**Quantification of cell proliferation and cell damage**

Cancer cells were plated in a 96-well plate and allowed to adhere overnight. Then TAS-115 was added, followed by incubation for 72 hours. Cellular proliferation was evaluated using CellTiter-Glo (Promega Corp.). The drug-induced cell damage in normal cell lines was assessed using the signal of cell viability and caspase activity determined by crystal violet staining or the ApoTox-Glo Triplex Assay, and caspase 3/7 Glo (Promega Corp.), respectively. The drug-induced cell damage was evaluated using the signal derived from caspase 3/7 activity. The signal was normalized to cell viability. To investigate VEGF-dependent cell proliferation, HUVECs were cultured overnight under starvation conditions. Then, VEGF (30 ng/mL) and TAS-115 were added, followed by incubation for 24 hours. This assay was performed using the 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine uptake method (Roche).

**In vivo efficacy studies**

A SC-9 fragment was implanted subcutaneously into the right abdomen of each mouse via a trocar. Suspensions of MKN45 cells were prepared and were implanted subcutaneously into the right abdomen of each nude mouse. The tumor volume (TV, mm³) was calculated as the length (mm) × width (mm)²/2. The TAS-115 dose levels were set at 12.5, 50, and 200 mg/kg/d. The dose level for sunitinib was set at 40 mg/kg/d; this dose was equivalent to the maximum tolerated dose (MTD). Oral drug treatment was continued for 14 or 42 consecutive days for the chronic dosing study period by calculating the tumor growth inhibition percentage (TGI; %). The TGI (%) values were calculated as 100 × [(1 – (TVfinal – TVinitial for the treatment group))/(TVfinal – TVinitial for the control group)]. TVfinal and TVinitial describe the tumor volumes on the allocation day and the final assessment day, respectively. When the TVfinal was smaller than the TVinitial in the treatment group, tumor regression was judged to have occurred. The tumor regression values were determined by calculating the ratio of the mean TVinitial to the mean TVfinal in...
of the treatment group. As an indicator of changes in body weight (BW) during the dosing period, the body weight change (BWC; %) was calculated as $100 \times \{ (BW \text{ on each measurement day}) - (BW_{\text{initial}}) \}/(BW_{\text{initial}})$. BWC describes the body weight on the allocation day.

Dunnett test was used as a statistical method to compare the tumor volume data in the drug-treated groups and the control group. Welch $t$ test was used to compare the tumor volume in the TAS-115-treatment group with the highest efficacy and the comparative group. For all tests, $P < 0.05$ was used to indicate statistical significance.

For the peritoneal dissemination model, an NUGC-4 cell suspension ($5 \times 10^6$ cells/mL) was prepared in 10% Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Inc.) and was inoculated intraperitoneally into nude mice. Sunitinib was administered once daily at 40 mg/kg, and TAS-115 was administered once daily at 50 or 200 mg/kg for 5 days each week. The increased life span (ILS) was calculated using the median survival time (MST) in each treatment group. The mice were euthanized by isoflurane inhalation upon becoming moribund. The survival times of the drug treatment groups and the control group were compared using a log-rank test. $P < 0.05$ was regarded as being statistically significant.

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for animal experiments at Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

Measurement of tumor vascular density
SC-9 tumor-bearing nude mice were orally treated with TAS-115 for 4 consecutive days, and the tumor was removed on day 5. Frozen sections were prepared and exposed to a biotin-conjugated anti-mouse CD31 antibody. Then, the sections were stained using the Metal Enhanced DAB Substrate Kit (Pierce Thermo Scientific). Pictures were taken of eight visual fields/section within each stained section, i.e., four lateral fields and four medial fields of the tumor. The tumor vessel density (TVD, No. of tumor vessels/mm$^2$) was calculated using the equation given below:

$$
\text{TVD} = \frac{\text{Total number of vessels in 8 visual fields}}{\text{Area of one visual field} \times 8}
$$

To detect the tumor vessels by fluorescent immunohistochemistry, the tumor sections were immunolabeled with anti-mouse CD31 antibody and stained with anti-rat IgG conjugated Alexa 568. The stained sections were then assessed under a fluorescent microscope (AXIO Imager A1; Carl Zeiss).

Pharmacodynamic studies using tumor xenografts
To perform VEGFR2 pharmacodynamic analysis, AZ-521 tumor-bearing nude mice were orally treated with TAS-115. Three hours after TAS-115 treatment, VEGF was injected via the caudal vein at a dose of 10 µg/body. The tumor was removed from each mouse at 5 minutes after the injection of VEGF. Then, the tumor lysate was prepared using a lysis buffer [200 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mmol/L NaCl, 20 mmol/L EDTA, 1% Triton X-100] for immunoprecipitation with anti-VEGFR2 antibody (R&D Systems, Inc.). VEGFR2 and its phosphorylated form were detected using immunoblotting with an anti-VEGFR2 antibody and an anti-phosphorylated tyrosine antibody 4G10.

MET pharmacodynamics analysis was investigated in MKN45 tumor-bearing nude mice. Three hours after TAS-115 treatment, the tumor was removed and lysed using Cell Extraction Buffer (Invitrogen Corporation). MET, ERK1/2, AKT, and their phosphorylated forms were detected using immunoblotting with the abovementioned antibodies.

Results
TAS-115 potently inhibited the catalytic activity of VEGFR2 and MET kinase
The ability of TAS-115 to inhibit VEGFR2 and MET as well as the manner of the inhibition were analyzed. The IC$_{50}$ values of TAS-115 against rVEGFR2 and rMET were 0.030 and 0.032 µmol/L, respectively (Table 1). The manner of kinase inhibition by TAS-115 was shown to be ATP antagonism with inhibition constant ($K_i$) values against rVEGFR2 and rMET of 0.012 and 0.039 µmol/L, respectively. In our assay system, the IC$_{50}$ values of sunitinib and sorafenib against rVEGFR2 were 0.018 and 0.072 µmol/L, respectively, and the IC$_{50}$ value of crizotinib against rMET was 0.016 µmol/L. Thus, the inhibitory activity of TAS-115 against rVEGFR2 and rMET was approximately equal to that of the other examined VEGFR-or MET-targeted kinase inhibitors.

Kinase selectivity of TAS-115 was investigated using a 192-kinase panel assay. The IC$_{50}$ of TAS-115 was less than 1 µmol/L for 53 (28%) of 192 kinases. These data revealed TAS-115 also inhibited platelet-derived growth factor receptor-alpha and -beta (PDGFRs), AXL receptor tyrosine kinase (AXL), c-kit receptor tyrosine kinase (KIT), sarcoma virus tyrosine kinase (SRC), and fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (FLT-1) kinase activity. The IC$_{50}$ of sunitinib

| Table 1. Kinase inhibitory activity of TAS-115 for recombinant VEGFR2 and MET in a kinase assay |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Kinase           | TAS-115     | Sunitinib   | Sorafenib   | Crizotinib  |
| VEGFR2           | 0.030 ± 0.009 | 0.018 ± 0.004 | 0.073 ± 0.019 | >3.0        |
| MET              | 0.032 ± 0.014 | >3.0        | >3.0        | 0.016 ± 0.009 |
was less than 1 µmol/L for 82 (43%) kinases and the kinase inhibitory spectrum of sunitinib was broad (Supplementary Table S1). These data demonstrated that the action of TAS-115 was more selective when compared with sunitinib.

**TAS-115 inhibited cellular phosphorylation in VEGF-stimulated endothelial cells and MET-amplified cancer cells**

Chemical structure of TAS-115 was described in Fig. 1A. In VEGF-stimulated HUVECs, TAS-115 markedly inhibited the tyrosine phosphorylation of VEGFR2 at more than 0.01 µmol/L (Fig. 1B). TAS-115 also inhibited cellular phosphorylation of VEGFR2 in MS-1 cells at concentrations indicating phospho-VEGFR2 inhibition in HUVECs (Fig. 1C). These data reveal that there was no difference in cellular VEGFR2 inhibition by TAS-115 when comparing human and murine cells. MKN45 cells, a MET gene-amplified human gastric cancer cell line, demonstrate increased phosphorylation of MET. TAS-115 markedly inhibited the tyrosine phosphorylation of MET in MKN45 cells (Fig. 1D). TAS-115 also markedly inhibited the phosphorylation of ERK 1/2, AKT, FAK, S6, and STAT3 (all of which are signal transduction factors located downstream from MET) at a concentration of more than 0.03 µmol/L (Fig. 1D). These results indicate that TAS-115 suppresses signal transduction in the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathways by inhibiting MET in MET-amplified cancer cells. Thus, TAS-115 inhibited the phosphorylation of cellular VEGFR2 and MET at concentrations similar to those capable of inhibiting rVEGFR2 and rMET.

**TAS-115 inhibits VEGF- or MET-dependent cell growth in a highly selective manner**

The effect of TAS-115 against VEGF-dependent endothelial cell proliferation was investigated in HUVECs. TAS-115 strongly inhibited the cellular proliferation of HUVECs under VEGF-dependent growth condition with an IC₅₀ value of 0.019 µmol/L (Fig. 2A). Meanwhile, the inhibitory activity of TAS-115 against cellular proliferation under VEGF-independent growth conditions using media containing 10% FBS was very weak (IC₅₀ = 19 µmol/L, Fig. 2A).

Because TAS-115 also inhibited the activity of MET kinase, it markedly suppressed proliferation of MKN45, Hs746T, and NUGC-4 cells (MET-amplified cancer cell lines). The 50% growth inhibitory concentration (GI₅₀) value of TAS-115 against MKN45, Hs746T, and NUGC-4 cells was 0.032, 0.035, and 0.362 µmol/L, respectively (Fig. 2B). While TAS-115 inhibited growth of these MET-amplified cancer cell lines, it did not lead to cell death in these cell lines, even when used at concentrations of 3 µmol/L (Supplementary Fig. S1). In addition, TAS-115 produced less growth inhibition against MET-inactivated cancer cells with a GI₅₀ value of more than 10 µmol/L (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Table S2). Sunitinib and sorafenib uniformly inhibited the growth of all examined cancer cell lines with a GI₅₀ value of less than 10 µmol/L (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Table S2). These data indicate

---

**Figure 2.** TAS-115–induced highly potent and selective inhibition of MET and VEGFR signal-dependent cell growth. A, inhibitory activities of TAS-115 against the VEGF-dependent or -independent proliferation of HUVECs. Cell growth ratio (%) in TAS-115–treated versus control in the VEGF-dependent condition (•) and in the VEGF-independent condition (○). The VEGF–independent condition consisted of 10% FBS without the addition of VEGF. B, selective inhibition of TAS-115 against MET-amplified cancer cell proliferation. MKN45, Hs746T, and NUGC-4 were the MET-amplified cancer cell lines. HCT-116, MCF-7, SK-OV-3, and DU145 showed no MET amplification. The 50% growth inhibitory concentration (GI₅₀) values are presented as the mean value of three independent tests.
that TAS-115 inhibited proliferation of VEGF-dependent endothelial cells and MET-amplified cancer cells in a highly selective manner when compared with other VEGFR or MET inhibitors.

**TAS-115 causes minimal damage to normal cells**

We investigated the effect of TAS-115 on various normal cell lines, including rat cardiomyocytes (Fig. 3A), hCASMC (Fig. 3B), MRC5 (Fig. 3C), and AEpiC (Fig. 3D). Caspase activity in each cell line after treatment was measured as an indicator of apoptosis and was normalized to cell viability. TAS-115 treatment produced minimal caspase activity in normal cell lines, even at a TAS-115 concentration of 10 μmol/L. In contrast, sunitinib, sorafenib, and crizotinib treatment resulted in significantly increased caspase activity in these normal cell lines. In rat cardiomyocytes, although caspase induction by sunitinib or sorafenib was moderate at 72 hours after treatment (Fig. 3A), sunitinib or sorafenib-induced caspase activity was markedly enhanced at 96 hours after treatment (Supplementary Fig. S2). TAS-115 did not increase caspase activity in rat cardiomyocytes even after 96 hours. These results suggested that the toxicity profile of TAS-115 in normal cells is less than that of other VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitors.

**Uninterrupted inhibition of angiogenesis by VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitor is required to significantly inhibit tumor growth.**

To verify the antitumor efficacy of TAS-115 as a VEGFR inhibitor with consecutive dosing, chronological changes in tumor progression were investigated. TAS-115 dose-dependently inhibited phosphorylation of VEGFR2 and angiogenesis in tumors after 4 consecutive days of treatment, and the antiangiogenesis potency at TAS-115 200 mg/kg was comparable with that of sunitinib (Fig. 4A and B). Consecutive dosing at 200 mg/kg/d of TAS-115 completely prevented tumor growth during the treatment period, with no body weight loss observed in animals with SC-9 xenografts, even at TAS-115 dosing of 200 mg/kg/d (Fig. 4C). Of note, the body weight of mice in the TAS-115 treatment group gradually increased, while that in the...
Figure 4. Chronic treatment with TAS-115 produced potent antitumor effects by inhibiting angiogenesis. A, tumor vessel density in SC-9 xenografts after TAS-115 or sunitinib treatment for 4 consecutive days. Each bar indicates the SD. *, P < 0.05 in the treated group according to a Dunnett test, when compared with the control group. B, TAS-115–induced inhibition of VEGFR2 phosphorylation in tumor xenografts. VEGF was administered intravenously to athymic mice bearing human duodenal carcinoma AZ-521 xenografts at 3 hours after TAS-115 treatment. Five minutes later, the tumor was removed from each mouse and prepared for immunoblotting. "P-" indicates the phosphorylated form of VEGFR. C, antitumor effect of consecutive TAS-115 treatment in gastric carcinoma SC-9 xenografts expressing low levels of MET, and staining of tumor vessels at each of the indicated time points. SC-9 tumor-bearing athymic mice were treated with TAS-115 (200 mg/kg/d; 42 consecutive days) or sunitinib (40 mg/kg/d; 2 weeks on/1 week off to mimic a clinical regimen). Each plotted dot indicates the mean tumor volume, and each bar indicates the SD (n = 6/group). Treatment was initiated when the mean tumor volume was approximately 250 mm³. The tumors were harvested before drug administration (day 1) and before and after the drug-off period of sunitinib treatment (days 15, 22, 36, and 43). These samples were examined using immunofluorescence staining of the tumor vessels with anti-mouse CD31 antibody (n = 3/time point). Right graph shows body weight change (%) in this study from day 1 during the TAS-115 treatment period. Mice in the control group were euthanized at day 22 because of tumor ulceration.
vehicle-treated (control) group decreased. Furthermore, TAS-115 markedly suppressed tumor vascular development over a 42-day treatment period (Fig. 4C). Sunitinib was administered with 2-weeks-on/1-week-off regimen in 3-week cycles to mimic clinical regimens incorporating a washout period. Consecutive dosing of sunitinib for 14 days also completely blocked tumor growth and tumor angiogenesis; however, rapid tumor regrowth and tumor blood vessels remodeling were observed at 7 days after treatment suspension (Fig. 4C). Surprisingly, after a sunitinib washout period of only 7 days, the tumor blood vessels returned to the number and phenotype similar to that seen before sunitinib treatment, and tumors ultimately showed regrowth. Gene expression analysis of Flt-1, Flk-1, Pecam-1 and Tie-2 was also examined in SC-9 tumor tissues after TAS-115 or sunitinib treatment (Supplementary Fig. S3). Changes in expression of these genes were considered as indicators of endothelial cells contents in tumor tissue, because these genes are mainly expressed in endothelial cells. In the sunitinib-treated group, expression of all four genes was lower at day 15 when compared with that at day 1. Changes in gene expression seemed to coincide with blood vessel changes demonstrated by immunohistochemistry. In the TAS-115–treated group, expression of all 4 genes was suppressed at day 15 and day 43 when compared with that that at day 1. Thus, consecutive dosing without drug withdrawal is important to maintain the optimal therapeutic effect of a VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitor.

**Antitumor efficacy of TAS-115 in MET-amplified human cancer transplanted models**

We evaluated the antitumor efficacy of TAS-115 against MET-amplified human cancer implanted models. When MKN45 tumor-bearing nude mice were orally treated with TAS-115 for 14 consecutive days, the TGI value was 76% at a dose of 12.5 mg/kg/d (Fig. 5A; P < 0.001). TAS-115 at a dose of 50 mg/kg/d completely prevented tumor growth during the treatment period. TAS-115 at a dose of 200 mg/kg/d induced a 48% regression from the initial tumor volume (Fig. 5B). The estimated 50% effective dose (ED50; the dose at which the TGI value was 50%) of TAS-115 in this model was 8 mg/kg/d. Sunitinib also produced significant tumor growth inhibition (TGI = 84%; P < 0.001) but did not induce tumor regression in this xenograft model (Fig. 5A and B). TAS-115 markedly inhibited the phosphorylation of MET and signal transduction factors located downstream from MET (i.e., ERK and AKT) at a dose of 3.1 mg/kg (Fig. 5C). In the other MET-amplified cancer cell lines, TAS-115 induced tumor regression, and the estimated ED50 for these tumors was roughly 2 to 8 mg/kg/d, based on the relationship between the dose and the TGI values (Supplementary Fig. S4).

To more clearly evaluate the potency and efficacy of TAS-115, the survival benefit of TAS-115 was investigated using an NUGC-4 peritoneal metastasis model. NUGC-4 is a MET-amplified human gastric carcinoma cell line (30). The MST from the day of implantation in the control group and sunitinib treatment group was 29 and 23 days, respectively. The MST in the TAS-115 treatment groups was 41 and more than 60 days at a dose of 50 and 200 mg/kg/d, respectively. TAS-115 significantly prolonged the survival period of the NUGC-4 peritoneal metastasis model mice (P < 0.01), while sunitinib did not (P = 0.119) (Fig. 5D). The ILS in the TAS-115 treatment group was 41% and more than 100% at doses of 50 and 200 mg/kg/d, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). TAS-115 significantly prolonged survival of these mice when administered at doses of 50 or 200 mg/kg/d (P < 0.001, log-rank test).

These results demonstrate that the dual inhibition of VEGFR and MET was more effective against MET-amplified tumors than VEGFR inhibition alone. Furthermore, the efficacy and safety of this regimen allowed chronic treatment, which was associated with further improvements in outcomes.

**Discussion**

VEGFR/VEGFR signaling is essential for intratumoral vascularization and is involved in tumor progression. Some approved VEGFR-targeted inhibitors are effective for GIST, renal cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, but the efficacy of these agents for other kinds of carcinoma or when used in combination with chemotherapies is not favorable. An improvement in the associated tolerability profile as well as the antitumor efficacy is critical to overcoming these limitations. MET is involved primarily in tumor growth, invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis (31). These insights suggest that the combination of MET inhibition and VEGFR inhibition might enhance the anti-tumor efficacy of VEGFR-targeted inhibitors.

We recently discovered a highly potent compound, TAS-115, that was capable of inhibiting both MET and VEGFR2 via ATP antagonism. The IC50 of TAS-115 against VEGFR2 and MET was 0.030 and 0.032 μmol/L, respectively, indicating that the inhibitory activity of TAS-115 against VEGFR2 and MET is comparable with that of other VEGFR- or MET-targeted kinase inhibitor (e.g., sunitinib, sorafenib, and crizotinib). Kinase selectivity of TAS-115 was also evaluated using a 192-kinase panel assay (Supplementary Table S1). The IC50 of TAS-115 was less than 1 μmol/L for 53 (28%) of 192 kinases, whereas the IC50 of sunitinib was less than 1 μmol/L for 82 (43%) kinases. These data suggest that TAS-115 is more selective than sunitinib and, therefore, has less off-target effects.

TAS-115 was shown to powerfully suppress the VEGF-dependent proliferation of HUVECs (IC50 = 0.019 μmol/L) as a VEGFR-targeted inhibitor and powerfully suppress the proliferation of MET-amplified cancer cells (G1/2 = 0.032–0.362 μmol/L) as a MET-targeted inhibitor. However, TAS-115 did not induce cell death in the examined MET-amplified cancer cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S1). In addition, it had a markedly lower effect on VEGFR or MET signal-independent cell proliferation (G1/2 > 10
mMol/L) when compared with other VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitors. Furthermore, TAS-115 produced only minimal damage to normal cell lines, even when used at a concentration of 10 μMol/L. In contrast, other VEGFR- or MET-targeted kinase inhibitors produced significant cell damage in various normal cell lines, including rat cardiomyocytes, when used at concentrations comparable with those used for TAS-115. During clinical development, VEGFR-targeted inhibitors were recognized to cause cardiovascular toxicity (32), possibly via reduction of nitric oxide function in endothelial cells (33) and due to capillary rarefaction based on VEGF/VEGFR signal inhibition (34). Other mechanisms by which multi-kinase inhibition can produce cardiotoxicity have been described (35). For example, sunitinib inhibits adenosine monophosphate (AMP)-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which plays a key role as a master regulator of cellular energy homeostasis, resulting in damage to cardiomyocytes (36). We confirmed that sunitinib inhibited kinase activity of AMPK-alpha with an IC50 value of 0.061 μMol/L and that sunitinib suppressed cellular phosphorylation and activity of AMPK-alpha in rat cardiomyocytes at 1 μMol/L (Supplementary Fig. S5). Meanwhile, TAS-115 did not inhibit kinase activity or cellular phosphorylation of AMPK-alpha in rat cardiomyocytes even at 10 μMol/L. In this study, AMPK inhibition by sunitinib resulted in damage to rat cardiomyocytes. In addition to AMPK inhibition, a multi-kinase inhibitor, such as sunitinib, might induce non-specific cellular damage via its off-target action. The present study showed that TAS-115 had much less toxicity in

Figure 5. Antitumor efficacy of TAS-115 against MET-amplified human gastric cancer MKN45 xenograft models. A, TAS-115–induced time-dependent tumor volumes changes of human gastric carcinoma MKN45 xenografts. TAS-115 and sunitinib were orally administered consecutively from day 1 to day 14. Each plotted dot indicates the mean tumor volume, and each bar indicates the standard deviation. *, P < 0.05 in the treated group according to Dunnett’s test when compared with the control. #, P < 0.05 in the TAS-115 (200 mg/kg/d)-treated group according to Welch t test when compared with the sunitinib–treated group. B, tumor growth (%) in each treatment group on day 15. The tumor volume difference between day 1 and day 15 was set as 100% growth. Tumor growth (%) was calculated using the tumor volume difference in each group when compared with the control. Tumor regression from day 1 was described below 0 (%). C, inhibition of MET signal transduction by TAS-115 treatment in human gastric carcinoma MKN45 xenografts. Three hours after administration of the indicated dose of TAS-115, the tumor in each mouse was removed and prepared for immunoblotting. D, Kaplan–Meier curves for an NUGC-4 peritoneal dissemination model. Each treatment group contained 10 mice.
various normal cell lines when compared with other VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitors.

In an in vivo antitumor efficacy study, TAS-115 could be administered consecutively at a high dose, such as saturating drug concentration in blood, and therefore exerted potent antitumor activity via antiangiogenesis against human gastric cancer SC-9 xenografts. Sunitinib also strongly suppressed tumor growth for 14 consecutive days in this model. However, during each sunitinib washout period or after sunitinib withdrawal, tumors showed rapid regrowth. Similar observations have been noted in clinical practice when using VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitors (37, 38). The mechanisms mediating "withdrawal flare" are not understood, but modulation of plasma levels of circulating proteins involved in VEGF signaling during VEGFR inhibitor treatment might lead to tumor progression. These observations suggest that VEGFR-targeted kinase inhibitors should be administered without interruption and dose reduction in order to be effective. The selectivity of TAS-115 allowed for chronic dosing and improved tolerability, thereby enhancing the overall efficacy of this regimen and obviating the risk of withdrawal flare.

TAS-115 also demonstrated prominent MET inhibition and suppressed MET-amplified cancer cell proliferation in vitro. Moreover, TAS-115 induced tumor shrinkage and prolonged survival in MET-amplified human cancer xenografts transplanted models. The antitumor activity of TAS-115 against MET-amplified human gastric cancer MKN45 xenografts was higher than that of sunitinib. Although TAS-115 did not cause marked cell death in MKN45, even when used at a concentration of 3 μmol/L, the in vitro proliferation assay showed that TAS-115 induced marked tumor regression when used at 200 mg/kg/d in MKN45 xenograft models. Similar results were also observed in experiments using other MET-amplified cancer cell lines (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S4). The mechanism of action of TAS-115–induced tumor regression in MET-amplified human cancer xenografts is not known at present. Considering that sunitinib, a VEGFR-targeted multi-kinase inhibitor, could not produce tumor regression in MKN45 xenograft models, TAS-115–induced tumor regression may be derived from inhibition of both VEGFR and MET in this specific tumor microenvironment. Indeed, synergetic action between VEGFR and MET signaling in tumor progression has been previously described. HGF/MET signaling also plays a role as a proangiogenesis factor in endothelial cells independent of VEGF/VEGFR signaling (26, 27). Furthermore, HGF upregulates VEGF expression and downregulates thrombospondin-1 in cancer cells (25, 39). The HGF-mediated change in the expression of these factors promotes tumor angiogenesis. These insights might explain the action of HGF/MET signaling as an endogenous resistance factor for VEGFR-targeted inhibitors (40, 41). Furthermore, HGF/MET signaling activity is greater under hypoxic conditions after VEGFR-targeted inhibitors treatment than under normoxia, and hypoxia-induced HGF/MET signaling activity may reinforce tumor progression and metastasis (42). The abovementioned insights suggest that VEGFR and MET signaling act in concert to promote tumor growth. Thus, simultaneous inhibition of VEGFR and MET might exert greater antitumor efficacy when compared with inhibition of either element alone.

The estimated ED50 values for several MET-amplified tumor xenograft models in this study were 2–8 mg/kg/d. MET-induced signal transduction in tumors was obviously inhibited after TAS-115 treatment at the ED50. Because the MTD of TAS-115 was more than 200 mg/kg/d, the safety margin calculated by the ED50 and the MTD was more than 25-fold. This suggests that TAS-115 is highly potent and has a favorable safety profile in vivo (43). In the human gastric cancer NUGC-4 peritoneal metastasis model, chronic treatment with TAS-115 improved survival and did not have any severe toxic effects.

The balance between efficacy and safety must be optimized to improve the therapeutic efficacy of molecularly targeted drugs. During clinical studies, the percentage of patients requiring a dose reduction of these molecularly targeted drugs is relatively high (44, 45), and the outcome of treatment with these drugs has not been satisfactory, even when they have been used in combination with other anticancer agents (46–49). The requirement for a washout period and/or dose reduction when using presently available VEGFR inhibitors may result in tumor regrowth and drug resistance (50).

In summary, treatment with TAS-115 produced relatively selective dual inhibition of VEGFR and MET and resulted in marked antitumor effects. Furthermore, the increased tolerability of this regimen allowed chronic treatment with this drug, thereby further enhancing overall efficacy. The improved tolerability profile of this agent may allow its coadministration with other chemotherapeutic agents, possibly leading to further improvements in outcomes.
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